

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/973,228	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kishor Mayekar	<b>Art Unit</b> 1753	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Lawrence Kessler.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*to the rejection under the first paragraph of 35 USC 112 to the term "sol-gels" in claim 45.*

**Claims discussed:**

45

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Attorney agrees to delete the term "sol-gels" in claim 45 by an examiner amendment as the term is used to describes a processing technique and not a material.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)